

Horizon Research

Future of Fisheries

January 2019



Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
1. Fishing activity and perceptions about fish abundance.....	6
2. Significant lack of knowledge on some key fishing industry facts:	10
3. Perceptions of the state of fish stocks and management:	14
4. Support for policy change ideas:.....	15
4.1 The principle of charging a resource rental.....	15
4.2 Buying back quota in-shore, tendering quota and charging a rental.....	17
5. Influence of political donations on MPs	19
APPENDIX 1 – METHODOLOGY AND SAMPLE	21
APPENDIX 2 – FISHER PROFILES	22
APPENDIX 3 – TABLES.....	29

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarises the results of a survey of New Zealand adults' knowledge of and views on the management and future of New Zealand's in-shore and off-shore sea fisheries.

The survey was conducted between 14 and 19 January 2019, among 1,046 adults nationwide who are members of Horizon Research's national online research panels. Results are weighted to represent the adult population at the 2013 census. At a 95% confidence level, the maximum margin of error is $\pm 3\%$ overall.

Horizon set out to find:

- What New Zealanders knew about various aspects of sea fisheries management, using a series of true or false answer questions;
- If they felt fish abundance was the same, improving or worsening
- Their views on how fisheries are being managed
- What proposed policies they would support or oppose, if any, which would reform fisheries management, particularly within the in-shore fishery (inside the 12 nautical mile territorial limit), including changes to the Quota Management System (QMS), which gave commercial fishing companies which qualified a quota to continue catching fish, introduced from 1986.
- What degree of influence, if any, donations made by companies with commercial fishing investments to some Members of Parliament would have on decisions made by the MPs.

As the survey contained policy ideas, results are reported by party vote at the 2017 general election.

Significant lack of knowledge on some key fishing industry facts:

The survey finds large numbers of New Zealanders are significantly unaware of key facts about the fisheries and ways in which they are managed.

For example:

- 72% believed the statement that commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government is false. It is true
- 7% only correctly said the number of companies holding 78% of commercial fish quota was 10
- 7% only correctly said only 1 of the top 10 quota owning companies was landing fish under its own name
- 6% only could correctly say that 80% of New Zealand's fish stocks has not been scientifically assessed
- 58% thought bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries was not allowed in New Zealand. It is.

On other statements

- 80% believed the statement that between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea. The under reporting of catch was made in an Auckland University study.
- The survey also tested some statements used by the commercial fishing industry or its proponents and found a majority of New Zealanders thought they were false:
 - 53% thought the published statement that “97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management” was false.
 - 59% thought the published statement that “Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management” was false.
 - 64% thought the published statement “Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed” was false.

The state of fish stocks and management:

The survey finds New Zealanders believe:

- Fish stocks within the 12 nautical mile territorial limit are becoming less abundant. 77% think this the case, while 14% believe they remain or are becoming more abundant.
- Current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand is extremely poor, very poor or poor (45%), while 22% think it is good, very good or extremely good. 54% of Maori rate commercial fisheries management as poor, 13% as good while 30% are neutral.
- Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion (54%).
- The country's in-shore fish stocks are being over exploited commercially (54%)
- Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers (69%)
- Not all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant (55%).

Support for policy change ideas:

There is overwhelming support in principle for charging people who are taking marine fish and other public national resources for profit a resource rental:

- 75% support this (including 76% of Maori);
- 7% say no (including 13% of Maori); while
- 18% are not sure (including 12% of Maori).

Support is up 5% since 2016.

Among those who voted at the 2017 general election for the five parties now in Parliament support for charging a resource rental is

- ACT 73%
- Green 85%
- Labour 83%
- National 72%
- New Zealand First 82%.

Respondents were presented with a series of statements, including policy ideas, and asked to select those they agreed with.

The survey finds:

- 53% agree the government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee
- 69% agree not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers
- 62% agree cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels
- 57% agree all mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped.

Asked about a possible policy to apply to commercial fishing, involving buying back in-shore fisheries quota from current owners, then tendering quota and charging a resource rental, 49% agree with the idea, 12% disagree. 22% are neutral while 17% say they're really not sure. 37% of Maori respondents agree with the idea, 11% disagree and 35% are neutral.

Political donations' influence on MPs:

Respondents were told some companies with large fishing investments made donations to the 2017 election campaigns of some Members of Parliament. They were then asked: "In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?"

Overall

- 73% felt it would have a strong (35%) or some influence (38%)
- 11% felt it would probably have no influence and 2% said it would definitely have no influence
- 14% said they were really not sure.

Among voters for New Zealand First at the 2017 election, 88% felt it would have some influence, 9% probably none or no influence. Among National Party votes 79% felt it would have some influence, 9% probably little or none.

REPORT

The survey tested support and opposition to some ideas being proposed to reform the Quota Management System (QMS) and fisheries management.

Results were analysed by age, personal and household income, occupation, gender and the political party voted for in the 2017 general election. Full tables are attached to this report.

The survey was structured to:

- test knowledge about fisheries and some statements made about them;
- identify and profile who had fished in the past five years; and
- measure support or opposition to various statements and policy ideas.


As policy ideas were being tested, several results are reported by party vote.

1. Fishing activity and perceptions about fish abundance

The survey finds that fishing in the sea plays a significant part in New Zealanders' lives.

During the past five years 41% of adults have fished either from a boat or the land, or both¹.

This equates to around 1.31 million adults.



Fishers' profiles:

Tables giving the demographic profiles of those who have fished in the sea in the past five years are attached, but in summary:

Gender: sea fishers are more likely to have been males (56% have fished, 44% have not).

¹ As respondents who fished could select both boat and land responses, each category can total more than 100% when combined with non-fishers.

By age: The average age of those fishing from a boat is 46.0 years. Land fishers are younger (41 years) while those who have not fished in the past 5 years are slightly older (48 years).

Household income: Those fishing from boats had higher annual household incomes on average (\$79,900) than those fishing from the land (\$66,300). The average household income for those who did not fish at all was \$61,800.


Personal income: Average personal incomes of those fishing from boats (\$57,000) was again higher than those who fished from the land (\$40,400) or who did not fish (\$40,100).

Employment: 76% of those fishing from boats were employed and 67% not employed, compared with 67% employed/ 34% not employed among those fishing from land. 58% of those not fishing were employed, 42% not employed.

Household type: Respondents from couple-only households (no children or none at home), and two parent families with one or two children were the most likely to have been sea fishing.

Educational qualification: The largest group fishing by qualification were those with six form/ UE/ NCEA Level 2 qualifications.


Ethnicity: NZ Europeans/ Pakehas were the most likely to be fishing from boats (76% of boat fishers) than land (63% of land fishers). Maori made up 20% of those who fished from the land, but only 13% of those who fished from a boat. Asians who fished were more likely to do so from land than a boat.



Party vote: Among those who voted for the five parties now in Parliament, New Zealand First had the highest number of voters fishing (48% overall). New Zealand First voters were the most likely to be fishing from both a boat or from the land. ACT voters were least likely to fish at all: 83% did not fish, 17% did.

Among voters for other parties who fish either from the land or sea or both the results were:

- National 50% (with 35% from a boat, the highest boat-using group among voters)
- 47% Labour
- 41% Green
- 24% ACT.



In summary, sea fishers tend to be more male than female, and have higher incomes than non-fishers and are couples living alone or couples with two or three children. Those fishing from boats have higher incomes and tend to vote more for National.

Fisheries management:


New Zealanders rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand as poor.

The overall poor rating is 45%, compared with 21% who think it is good.

11% think it is extremely poor, 2% excellent.

25% are neutral, rating it neither good nor poor.

For Maori in the sample, 54% rated the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand as poor, with 13% rating it as good and 30% neutral.




Far more voters for current coalition government partners think fisheries management is poor rather than good.

New Zealand First and Green party voters are most likely to think fisheries management is poor:

- 67% of NZ First voters say it's poor, compared with 14% good
- 74% of Green voters say it's poor, 4% good.

49% of Labour voters think it is poor, 14% good.

Among National voters 41% think it is poor, 25% good.




2. Significant lack of knowledge on some key fishing industry facts:


The survey finds large numbers of New Zealanders are significantly unaware of key facts about the fisheries and ways in which they are managed.

Respondents were presented with six statements and asked if they were true or false, and three questions in which they were asked which of a range of numbers relating to a question was correct or incorrect.

The survey indicates some New Zealanders might be quite surprised to find that they hold to be true is false, or vice versa. This applies particularly to the Government policy of giving commercial fish quota owners fish for free, or of allowing bottom trawling of in-shore waters.



- 72% believe the statement that commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government is false. It is true.
- 7% only correctly said the number of companies holding 78% of commercial fish quota was 10.
- 7% only correctly said only 1 of the top 10 quota owning companies was landing fish under its own name.
- 6% only could correctly say that 80% of New Zealand's fish stocks has not been scientifically assessed.
- 58% thought bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries was not allowed in New Zealand. It is.



On other statements


- 80% believed the statement that between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea. The under reporting of catch was made in an Auckland University study.

The survey also tested some published statements used by the commercial fishing industry or its proponents and found a majority of New Zealanders thought they were false:

- 53% thought the statement that “97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management” was false.
- 59% thought the statement that “Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management” was false.
- 64% thought the statement “Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed” was false.

This indicates some statements made in support of the QMS and its ability to manage fisheries sustainably lack credibility among adults overall.

Overall results for statements respondents said they agreed with are:



There was little agreement that in-shore stocks were well managed and plentiful (9%) and 12% only fully trusted that all the necessary research was being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant.

3% only agreed that commercial fishers could be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras were needed on board their vessels.

Similarly, only 3% agreed enough was being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers and 1% only agreed bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue.

As the table below shows, among those fishing there was greater agreement that some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion (63% of boat fishers agree, 58% of land fishers compared with 54% of respondents overall).

Fishers were also more likely to agree that in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially, less likely to trust necessary research is being done and more in favour of stopping mobile bottom trawling of the in-shore fishery.

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	5%	13%	7%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	63%	58%	51%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	66%	62%	49%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	7%	9%	14%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	61%	57%	55%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	62%	54%	58%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	1%	2%	1%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	5%	5%	2%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	73%	70%	68%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	65%	57%	63%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	1%	4%	3%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	58%	55%	51%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	28%	35%	32%
None of these	6%	2%	5%	7%

N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----


3. Perceptions of the state of fish stocks and management:

The survey finds New Zealanders believe:

- Fish stocks within the 12 nautical mile territorial limit are becoming less abundant. 77% think this is the case (69% of Maori), while 14% believe they remain or are becoming more abundant (10% of Maori). Overall New Zealanders are more than five times more likely to believe fish are less abundant than abundant.
- Current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand is extremely poor, very poor or poor (45%), while 22% think it is good, very good or extremely good
- Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion (54%)
- The country's in-shore fish stocks are being over exploited commercially (54%)
- Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers (69%)
- Not all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant (55%).


While 69% of those who have fished from the land in the past five years think fish are becoming less abundant (and at 38%, are the most likely to think that fish are ***much less*** abundant), they are also slightly more likely to think they are becoming more abundant (11%) than those fishing from boats (8% think there is more abundance).

Those who have not fished at all are more likely to be unsure (26% compared with 20% overall).



New Zealand First and Green Party voters are most likely to believe fish stocks are becoming much less abundant: 49% of each party's voters hold this view.

45% of National voters believe stocks are becoming less abundant, and 27% much less abundant, while only 8% believe they remain abundant and 7% think they are becoming much more abundant.



4. Support for policy change ideas:

4.1 The principle of charging a resource rental

There is overwhelming support for the idea that, in principle, people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental.

Respondents were told:

"To help you answer the next few questions, here's some brief background for you.

In 1986 New Zealand introduced a Quota Management System (QMS) which gave commercial fishing companies which qualified a quota to continue catching fish. The quota shareholders were not charged for this.

Right to free fish

Quota holders continue to receive rights to free fish. They do not have to fish and can lease their quota, to catch set volumes of different species of fish in different areas, to licensed commercial fishers.

100 of the 1,317 quota holders, now own 96% of all quota.

78% of quota is owned by 10 companies. Of those, only one last year landed fish under its own name. Most fish are being landed by fishers who are leasing quota.

Foreign companies may also have bought quota.

Some argue the Crown should not continue to gift one of the country's national resources to commercial fishers and a royalty ought to apply to it, as in the oil and gas industries."

Respondents were then asked if they agreed with this proposal to buy back quota and invite tenders to fish the in-shore area and pay a resource rental.

- 75% support the proposal
- 7% oppose while
- 18% are not sure.

The idea enjoys four times more support than opposition:


In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL
Yes	75%
No	7%
I'm really not sure	18%
N (unweighted)	1,046

76% of Maori agree a resource rental should be paid, versus 13% who disagree.

Compared with results of an October 2016 Horizon Research survey, support for charging a resource rental has risen by 5%, opposition reduced by 2% while the number unsure has reduced by 4%.

In this survey, support is overwhelming among voters for the parties now in Parliament:

- ACT voters 73% support/ 25% oppose
- Green 85%/ none opposed
- Labour 83%/ 3%
- National 72%/ 5%
- NZ First 82%/ 10%.



4.2 Buying back quota in-shore, tendering quota and charging a rental

Respondents were asked about possible policies to apply to commercial fishing, including buying back in-shore fisheries quota from current owners, then tendering quota and charging a resource rental.

Respondents were told:

“There are some ideas being discussed to apply to commercial fishing inside the 12 nautical mile limit (the in-shore fishery).

Replacing quotas with licences?

One idea is to end the gifting of commercial quota to quota holders. Instead, the Government would buy back quota from current quota holders at fair commercial value.

It would then introduce a system under which commercial fishers can tender for rights to fish for a volume of fish in a greater number of in-shore areas. Under this system a licence holder would land all fish caught. It would all be counted against the quota allocated to a licence holder.

It is argued this would result in less by-catch, better fish stock management, more abundant in-shore fisheries and result in better incomes and growth for the smaller vessel in-shore fishing industry.

The Government would use resource rental income to buy back quota to preserve sustainability and fund more research on fish stocks so they can become more abundant and grow the industry’s value.”

Respondents were then asked:


Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?

49% agree with the idea, 12% disagree. 22% are neutral while 17% say they're really not sure. 37% of Maori respondents agree with the idea, 11% disagree and 35% are neutral.

Voters for all the parties now in Parliament agree more than disagree.

Support is highest among Green and National Party voters:

- Green, 55% support/ 9% oppose
- National, 52% support/ 11% oppose.



More people who have fished from a boat agree with the proposal (55% compared with 49% overall) along with those who have fished from land (53% agreement), though disagreement rates are also slightly higher among fishers than non-fishers. Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
Strongly agree	13%	17%	14%	12%
Agree	36%	38%	39%	35%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	17%	20%	24%
Disagree	8%	10%	11%	6%
Strongly disagree	4%	6%	5%	3%
I'm really not sure	17%	13%	12%	20%

N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----

5. Influence of political donations on MPs

73% of respondents believe political campaign donations made to some MPs at the 2017 general election will potentially influence the decisions the MPs will make.


13% think the donations will possibly or definitely not have an influence.

35% say the payments will strongly influence the MPs, 2% say they will definitely not.

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL
Strong influence	35%
Some influence	38%
Probably no influence	11%
Definitely no influence	2%
I'm really not sure	14%
N (unweighted)	1,046

Those who voted for New Zealand First at the 2017 general election are most likely to think the donations will have some potential influence: 87% of them think this. 8% say the payments probably won't influence 3% definitely not influence.

Some companies with large fishing investments made donations to the 2017 election campaigns of some Members of Parliament. In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?



APPENDIX 1 – METHODOLOGY AND SAMPLE

1,046 members from Horizon Research's national online panels, representing the New Zealand population 18+, responded to the survey between 14 and 19 January 2019.

14 and 24 October 2016.

The sample was weighted on age, gender, personal income, education, employment status and party vote at the 2014 general election.

The survey has a maximum margin of error, at a 95% confidence level, of $\pm 3\%$ overall.

Contact

For more information about this survey, please contact:

Grant McInman, 021 076 2040, email gmcinman@horizonresearch.co.nz

Graeme Colman, 021 848 576, email gcolman@horizonresearch.co.nz

APPENDIX 2 – FISHER PROFILES

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
SEX	Male	63%	51%	44%
	Female	37%	49%	56%
AGE GROUP	18-24 years	17%	27%	14%
	25-34 years	13%	16%	12%
	35-44 years	17%	17%	13%
	45-54 years	20%	18%	28%
	55-64 years	14%	9%	13%
	65-74 years	14%	11%	12%
	75 years or over	4%	3%	8%
	Average age (years)	46.0	41.4	48.3

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
HOUSEHOLD INCOME			
Less than \$20,000 per year	9%	19%	10%
Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	12%	7%	13%
Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	10%	12%	14%
Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	12%	11%	19%
Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	19%	15%	15%
Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	17%	9%	10%
Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	8%	7%	2%
More than \$200,000 per year	2%	1%	1%
Don't know/ prefer not to say	13%	19%	16%
Average Household Income	\$79,900	\$66,300	\$61,800

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
PERSONAL INCOME				
	Less than \$20,000 per year	14%	25%	30%
	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	13%	16%	11%
	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	17%	18%	16%
	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	12%	10%	14%
	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	19%	11%	7%
	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	7%	3%	4%
	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	1%	0%	1%
	More than \$200,000 per year	1%	0%	0%
	Don't know/ prefer not to say	15%	18%	17%
	Average Personal Income	\$57,700	\$40,400	\$40,100
EMPLOYED				
	Yes	76%	67%	58%
	No	24%	34%	42%

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
OCCUPATION				
	Professional/Senior Government Official	9%	6%	6%
	Business Manager/Executive	13%	7%	3%
	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	13%	9%	7%
	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	3%	5%	6%
	Clerical/Sales Employee	14%	10%	13%
	Farm Owner/manager	1%	1%	0%
	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	13%	13%	8%
	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	8%	6%	6%
	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	2%	4%	6%
	Student	5%	16%	8%
	Retired/Superannuitant	12%	10%	20%
	Unemployed/Beneficiary	5%	9%	9%
	Don't know/prefer not to say	4%	5%	9%

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
HIGHEST QUALIFICATION			
Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	5%	3%	5%
Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	10%	8%	10%
Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc.)	14%	12%	13%
University Bursary or 7th form	5%	5%	4%
Sixth form/UE/NCEA Level 2	35%	32%	24%
NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	16%	18%	20%
No formal school qualification	13%	14%	12%
Prefer not to say	3%	7%	13%

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
HOUSEHOLD TYPE				
	Single person household	10%	11%	18%
	Couple only (no children/none at home)	30%	29%	27%
	Two parent family, one or two children at home	29%	25%	23%
	Two parent family, three or more children at home	7%	8%	7%
	One parent family, one or two children at home	6%	10%	9%
	One parent family, three or more children at home	1%	3%	2%
	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	8%	10%	5%
	Extended family	3%	1%	5%
	Prefer not to say	6%	4%	5%
PRIMARY ETHNIC GROUP				
	Asian	1%	4%	2%
	Indian	1%	1%	2%
	Maori	13%	20%	12%
	NZ European/Pakeha	76%	63%	72%
	Other European (includes Australian, South African, British etc.)	5%	7%	7%
	Pasifika	2%	6%	5%

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
PARTY VOTE 2017				
	ACT New Zealand	0%	0%	1%
	Conservative Party	0%	0%	0%
	Green Party	4%	4%	5%
	Labour Party	22%	31%	28%
	MANA Movement	0%	0%	0%
	Maori Party	1%	1%	1%
	National Party	42%	22%	30%
	New Zealand First Party	6%	7%	4%
	The Opportunities Party	3%	2%	2%
	United Future	0%	0%	0%
	Other party	0%	0%	0%
	Chose not to vote	4%	11%	16%
	Was not eligible to vote	8%	14%	7%
	Don't know or can't remember	10%	7%	5%

APPENDIX 3 – TABLES

The future of our fisheries

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
TRUE	28%	29%	26%
FALSE	72%	71%	74%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
TRUE	28%	22%	44%	35%	23%	28%	23%	20%
FALSE	72%	78%	56%	65%	77%	72%	77%	80%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
TRUE	28%	20%	32%	26%	24%	31%	26%	25%	34%	33%
FALSE	72%	80%	68%	74%	76%	69%	74%	76%	66%	67%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
TRUE	28%	25%	35%	21%	24%	37%	33%	51%	55%	26%
FALSE	72%	75%	66%	79%	76%	63%	67%	49%	46%	74%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
TRUE	28%	29%	26%
FALSE	72%	71%	74%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
TRUE	28%	42%	29%	35%	24%	26%	36%	26%	18%	24%	34%	23%	27%	32%
FALSE	72%	59%	71%	65%	76%	74%	64%	74%	82%	76%	66%	77%	73%	68%

N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37
----------------	-------	-----	----	----	----	-----	---	----	----	----	----	-----	----	----

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
TRUE	28%	31%	31%	25%	21%	27%	21%	47%	16%
FALSE	72%	69%	70%	75%	79%	73%	79%	53%	85%

N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
TRUE	28%	23%	25%	31%	28%	43%	72%	33%	16%	8%
FALSE	72%	77%	75%	69%	72%	57%	28%	67%	84%	92%

N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	---	----	----	----

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
TRUE	28%	32%	50%	29%	30%	23%	5%
FALSE	72%	68%	50%	71%	70%	77%	96%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
TRUE	28%	31%	35%	29%	30%	37%
FALSE	72%	69%	66%	71%	71%	63%

N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	----

Commercial fish quota owners in New Zealand waters are being given the fish for free by the Government	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
TRUE	28%	31%	32%	25%
FALSE	72%	69%	68%	75%

N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
1	2%	1%	4%
2	10%	11%	9%
3	23%	24%	22%
4	13%	17%	10%
5	12%	14%	11%
6	5%	4%	5%
7	2%	1%	3%
8	3%	3%	3%
9	1%	1%	1%
10	7%	4%	10%
11	0%	0%	0%
12	2%	1%	2%
13	2%	3%	1%
14	0%	0%	0%
15	1%	1%	2%
16	1%	2%	0%
17	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	0%	0%
19	0%	0%	1%
20	3%	4%	3%
21	0%	0%	0%
22	0%	0%	0%
23	0%	0%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%
25	1%	1%	1%
26 or more	10%	9%	11%
N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
1	2%	0%	1%	5%	4%	0%	1%	5%
2	10%	4%	12%	10%	13%	9%	13%	8%
3	23%	22%	29%	15%	28%	22%	21%	16%
4	13%	20%	7%	8%	9%	14%	16%	26%
5	12%	12%	11%	16%	10%	12%	17%	13%
6	5%	10%	2%	3%	4%	4%	6%	5%
7	2%	5%	1%	3%	0%	5%	0%	1%
8	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	6%	3%	2%
9	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	3%
10	7%	7%	5%	11%	5%	8%	8%	9%
11	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
12	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
13	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	0%	1%	3%
14	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
15	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%
16	1%	0%	0%	2%	3%	1%	0%	0%
17	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
19	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
20	3%	5%	5%	1%	3%	3%	4%	1%
21	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
22	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
23	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
25	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%
26 or more	10%	8%	19%	16%	10%	7%	4%	6%
N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
1	2%	2%	5%	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%
2	10%	1%	7%	8%	13%	10%	20%	31%	13%	5%
3	23%	22%	25%	26%	25%	24%	25%	21%	26%	13%
4	13%	16%	9%	10%	17%	13%	9%	17%	23%	13%
5	12%	17%	10%	11%	10%	8%	17%	15%	17%	14%
6	5%	7%	9%	3%	6%	3%	3%	6%	7%	4%
7	2%	5%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	0%	1%	3%
8	3%	5%	1%	4%	2%	3%	3%	1%	9%	1%
9	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%
10	7%	2%	9%	7%	12%	8%	6%	4%	0%	7%
11	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
12	2%	2%	4%	0%	2%	0%	2%	3%	3%	1%
13	2%	3%	0%	2%	0%	7%	0%	0%	2%	1%
14	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
15	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
16	1%	0%	0%	5%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
17	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
19	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
20	3%	2%	11%	5%	0%	2%	5%	3%	0%	2%
21	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
22	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
23	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
25	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%
26 or more	10%	12%	5%	8%	8%	7%	6%	1%	0%	24%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	Page 124 of 136
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----------------

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
1	2%	3%	5%	3%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%
2	10%	7%	5%	17%	14%	11%	24%	2%	9%	4%
3	23%	21%	25%	27%	22%	30%	19%	45%	38%	17%
4	13%	17%	9%	8%	17%	9%	17%	2%	24%	13%
5	12%	13%	12%	6%	10%	18%	18%	8%	15%	14%
6	5%	8%	9%	1%	4%	4%	3%	7%	5%	2%
7	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0%	5%
8	3%	4%	5%	1%	4%	0%	0%	10%	6%	1%
9	1%	2%	0%	3%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
10	7%	6%	9%	12%	7%	6%	2%	6%	0%	7%
11	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
12	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	0%	5%	0%	0%	1%
13	2%	2%	2%	0%	7%	2%	0%	0%	4%	0%
14	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
15	1%	2%	4%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
16	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%
17	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
19	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
20	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	7%	0%	0%	6%
21	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
22	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
23	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
25	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
26 or more	10%	6%	5%	12%	10%	7%	2%	21%	0%	22%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	Page 37
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	---------

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
1	2%	2%	3%
2	10%	11%	9%
3	23%	25%	20%
4	13%	10%	18%
5	12%	11%	14%
6	5%	4%	6%
7	2%	3%	2%
8	3%	3%	3%
9	1%	1%	2%
10	7%	8%	6%
11	0%	0%	0%
12	2%	1%	3%
13	2%	3%	1%
14	0%	0%	0%
15	1%	1%	1%
16	1%	2%	0%
17	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	0%	0%
19	0%	0%	0%
20	3%	3%	4%
21	0%	0%	0%
22	0%	0%	0%
23	0%	0%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%
25	1%	1%	1%
26 or more	10%	11%	8%
N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
1	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	4%	0%
2	10%	11%	10%	9%	15%	8%	12%	18%	14%	2%	11%	8%	11%	2%
3	23%	26%	23%	25%	17%	25%	9%	30%	6%	23%	35%	20%	30%	10%
4	13%	10%	12%	6%	11%	6%	24%	20%	3%	5%	5%	23%	6%	22%
5	12%	11%	18%	18%	13%	10%	0%	6%	11%	16%	17%	15%	11%	11%
6	5%	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	47%	6%	9%	6%	7%	6%	7%	2%
7	2%	0%	2%	0%	7%	2%	0%	3%	0%	4%	2%	1%	2%	12%
8	3%	1%	4%	2%	9%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	3%
9	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	4%	2%	0%	0%
10	7%	13%	8%	7%	8%	13%	8%	5%	6%	7%	4%	7%	4%	7%
11	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
12	2%	4%	0%	4%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	1%	0%	0%
13	2%	14%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	2%	0%	4%
14	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
15	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%
16	1%	3%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
17	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
19	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%
20	3%	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	0%	3%	16%	13%	0%	3%	2%	3%
21	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
22	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
23	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
25	1%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%
26 or more	10%	2%	10%	20%	12%	16%	0%	6%	9%	8%	6%	3%	14%	24%
N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
1	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	9%
2	10%	13%	14%	12%	11%	12%	12%	2%	2%
3	23%	24%	17%	23%	24%	26%	21%	23%	13%
4	13%	16%	11%	16%	16%	11%	15%	9%	18%
5	12%	20%	20%	9%	14%	14%	9%	9%	11%
6	5%	4%	6%	6%	3%	4%	5%	3%	9%
7	2%	1%	4%	4%	2%	0%	2%	3%	7%
8	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	6%
9	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%
10	7%	5%	11%	8%	9%	9%	5%	9%	2%
11	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
12	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	0%	1%	5%	2%
13	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	1%	0%
14	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
15	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	0%
16	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%
17	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	1%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
19	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
20	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	5%	4%	4%	4%
21	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
22	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
23	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
25	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	5%
26 or more	10%	5%	4%	10%	5%	7%	14%	21%	11%
N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
1	2%	1%	3%	1%	6%	7%	0%	1%	1%	5%
2	10%	3%	8%	15%	5%	13%	2%	20%	11%	9%
3	23%	18%	26%	21%	26%	37%	34%	35%	8%	2%
4	13%	15%	17%	7%	15%	9%	4%	2%	10%	26%
5	12%	19%	11%	14%	12%	5%	12%	9%	9%	9%
6	5%	7%	5%	4%	3%	7%	0%	1%	5%	9%
7	2%	5%	1%	2%	7%	2%	0%	3%	0%	2%
8	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	4%
9	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	3%
10	7%	8%	9%	6%	9%	6%	17%	4%	5%	2%
11	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
12	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	3%	0%	3%	1%	0%
13	2%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	15%	6%	0%
14	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
15	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%	1%	0%	1%
16	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18%	0%
17	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%
19	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
20	3%	1%	3%	3%	0%	1%	0%	1%	14%	20%
21	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
22	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%
23	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
25	1%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
26 or more	10%	12%	8%	15%	11%	4%	31%	3%	2%	8%

N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	---	----	----	----

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
1	2%	0%	0%	4%	2%	3%	7%
2	10%	4%	6%	10%	12%	7%	4%
3	23%	8%	0%	17%	23%	30%	0%
4	13%	18%	10%	7%	13%	12%	10%
5	12%	26%	43%	11%	13%	10%	0%
6	5%	1%	2%	6%	5%	2%	28%
7	2%	3%	8%	3%	2%	3%	0%
8	3%	0%	11%	2%	2%	2%	1%
9	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%
10	7%	9%	6%	10%	8%	6%	9%
11	0%	3%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
12	2%	5%	0%	2%	1%	4%	5%
13	2%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%
14	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
15	1%	8%	0%	2%	1%	2%	0%
16	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%
17	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
19	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
20	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%	2%	1%
21	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
22	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
23	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
25	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%
26 or more	10%	12%	3%	20%	7%	18%	9%
N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
1	2%	0%	1%	3%	2%	2%
2	10%	15%	17%	9%	12%	20%
3	23%	19%	31%	15%	22%	24%
4	13%	4%	14%	9%	19%	3%
5	12%	13%	7%	13%	13%	20%
6	5%	0%	10%	10%	5%	1%
7	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%	1%
8	3%	0%	1%	2%	3%	3%
9	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%
10	7%	20%	13%	7%	7%	11%
11	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
12	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%
13	2%	3%	0%	2%	1%	0%
14	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
15	1%	0%	3%	3%	1%	3%
16	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
17	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
19	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
20	3%	0%	0%	3%	3%	6%
21	0%	27%	0%	0%	0%	2%
22	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
23	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
25	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%
26 or more	10%	0%	0%	15%	6%	3%
N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74

How many companies would you say collectively hold a total of 78% of commercial fishing quota in New Zealand?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
1	2%	1%	1%	3%
2	10%	13%	13%	9%
3	23%	24%	26%	21%
4	13%	19%	15%	11%
5	12%	10%	12%	14%
6	5%	3%	4%	5%
7	2%	2%	3%	2%
8	3%	2%	2%	3%
9	1%	1%	2%	1%
10	7%	6%	3%	9%
11	0%	0%	0%	0%
12	2%	0%	0%	2%
13	2%	2%	0%	3%
14	0%	0%	0%	0%
15	1%	1%	2%	1%
16	1%	0%	0%	2%
17	0%	0%	0%	0%
18	0%	0%	0%	0%
19	0%	0%	0%	0%
20	3%	7%	0%	3%
21	0%	0%	0%	0%
22	0%	0%	0%	0%
23	0%	0%	0%	0%
24	0%	0%	0%	0%
25	1%	3%	1%	0%
26 or more	10%	7%	16%	9%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
1	7%	9%	6%
2	19%	23%	16%
3	17%	16%	18%
4	13%	17%	9%
5	17%	17%	17%
6	7%	4%	10%
7	7%	5%	8%
8	2%	1%	4%
9	1%	1%	1%
10	10%	7%	12%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
1	7%	2%	5%	11%	11%	6%	12%	2%
2	19%	10%	14%	17%	25%	19%	26%	25%
3	17%	18%	18%	15%	17%	19%	14%	21%
4	13%	11%	17%	9%	13%	13%	17%	12%
5	17%	8%	15%	29%	17%	23%	14%	18%
6	7%	10%	12%	4%	6%	8%	4%	6%
7	7%	23%	6%	3%	2%	2%	4%	5%
8	2%	1%	8%	3%	0%	1%	4%	1%
9	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
10	10%	16%	6%	9%	9%	9%	6%	7%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
1	7%	3%	8%	7%	10%	8%	13%	1%	12%	5%
2	19%	12%	17%	14%	22%	17%	28%	37%	26%	18%
3	17%	17%	22%	15%	17%	15%	16%	20%	31%	16%
4	13%	7%	10%	22%	7%	14%	11%	15%	12%	19%
5	17%	13%	13%	30%	14%	17%	13%	24%	9%	17%
6	7%	17%	7%	4%	4%	10%	6%	1%	0%	7%
7	7%	12%	14%	1%	8%	5%	4%	0%	4%	7%
8	2%	0%	4%	1%	2%	6%	3%	0%	2%	2%
9	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
10	10%	15%	6%	6%	16%	8%	6%	2%	5%	9%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
1	7%	9%	3%	9%	6%	15%	2%	0%	16%	5%
2	19%	14%	15%	19%	30%	14%	36%	14%	29%	20%
3	17%	15%	19%	22%	12%	15%	17%	45%	26%	18%
4	13%	13%	23%	3%	15%	18%	21%	0%	9%	12%
5	17%	12%	19%	25%	14%	19%	12%	14%	9%	18%
6	7%	11%	3%	5%	14%	3%	0%	0%	0%	7%
7	7%	8%	5%	7%	2%	5%	5%	6%	0%	11%
8	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	9%	0%	0%	0%	2%
9	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
10	10%	16%	10%	9%	5%	2%	6%	21%	11%	7%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
1	7%	8%	6%
2	19%	20%	19%
3	17%	15%	21%
4	13%	15%	11%
5	17%	19%	13%
6	7%	7%	8%
7	7%	7%	7%
8	2%	3%	1%
9	1%	0%	1%
10	10%	7%	13%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
1	7%	8%	5%	4%	5%	12%	0%	13%	3%	3%	5%	10%	5%	1%
2	19%	16%	16%	26%	23%	14%	83%	26%	17%	27%	18%	23%	9%	17%
3	17%	18%	23%	11%	17%	14%	9%	18%	5%	10%	30%	17%	33%	10%
4	13%	7%	11%	14%	13%	10%	0%	17%	6%	15%	15%	16%	8%	22%
5	17%	24%	27%	27%	19%	22%	0%	15%	17%	14%	13%	15%	6%	16%
6	7%	16%	6%	1%	8%	4%	8%	3%	6%	19%	12%	5%	15%	5%
7	7%	3%	1%	2%	4%	11%	0%	4%	22%	4%	2%	4%	3%	1%
8	2%	7%	3%	5%	8%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	8%
9	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
10	10%	1%	10%	9%	3%	12%	0%	4%	23%	7%	4%	7%	20%	21%

N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37
----------------	-------	-----	----	----	----	-----	---	----	----	----	----	-----	----	----

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
1	7%	13%	8%	10%	14%	7%	6%	3%	9%
2	19%	25%	22%	24%	20%	19%	24%	15%	7%
3	17%	23%	15%	18%	23%	15%	19%	10%	21%
4	13%	13%	13%	7%	8%	14%	9%	25%	18%
5	17%	18%	21%	23%	19%	15%	9%	19%	18%
6	7%	1%	9%	5%	5%	5%	13%	11%	3%
7	7%	3%	4%	4%	5%	16%	4%	2%	3%
8	2%	1%	1%	4%	6%	1%	1%	2%	3%
9	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
10	10%	2%	7%	6%	1%	6%	14%	14%	19%

N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
1	7%	6%	11%	6%	10%	6%	0%	6%	7%	2%
2	19%	14%	22%	20%	17%	29%	0%	29%	15%	5%
3	17%	19%	16%	16%	19%	28%	12%	11%	16%	21%
4	13%	18%	11%	12%	20%	6%	9%	13%	13%	14%
5	17%	18%	15%	18%	19%	16%	41%	13%	28%	6%
6	7%	7%	8%	6%	4%	2%	34%	22%	3%	2%
7	7%	4%	8%	5%	2%	3%	0%	4%	4%	20%
8	2%	4%	2%	5%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
9	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%
10	10%	9%	6%	12%	9%	11%	4%	2%	14%	26%

N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	---	----	----	----

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
1	7%	5%	7%	9%	7%	9%	3%
2	19%	27%	21%	14%	21%	19%	2%
3	17%	9%	17%	19%	19%	12%	14%
4	13%	31%	16%	12%	14%	14%	20%
5	17%	17%	12%	15%	18%	9%	33%
6	7%	1%	10%	6%	6%	13%	9%
7	7%	4%	6%	2%	7%	12%	13%
8	2%	3%	0%	3%	3%	5%	0%
9	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
10	10%	3%	12%	20%	5%	7%	6%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
1	7%	12%	14%	6%	5%	11%
2	19%	19%	30%	14%	26%	22%
3	17%	0%	15%	20%	19%	17%
4	13%	10%	16%	12%	16%	9%
5	17%	60%	11%	18%	19%	20%
6	7%	0%	3%	6%	6%	7%
7	7%	0%	3%	6%	4%	3%
8	2%	0%	1%	1%	3%	3%
9	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
10	10%	0%	7%	16%	3%	8%

N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	----

How many of the top 10 quota holding companies are landing fish under their own name?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
1	7%	6%	6%	8%
2	19%	19%	20%	20%
3	17%	16%	18%	18%
4	13%	13%	12%	13%
5	17%	18%	19%	15%
6	7%	3%	6%	9%
7	7%	13%	5%	5%
8	2%	4%	5%	2%
9	1%	0%	1%	1%
10	10%	8%	9%	10%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
TRUE	41%	42%	40%
FALSE	59%	58%	60%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
TRUE	41%	57%	34%	37%	32%	42%	36%	56%
FALSE	59%	43%	66%	63%	68%	58%	64%	44%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
TRUE	41%	39%	30%	39%	46%	38%	38%	28%	41%	53%
FALSE	59%	61%	71%	61%	54%	62%	62%	72%	59%	47%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
TRUE	41%	39%	28%	49%	26%	43%	38%	46%	42%	55%
FALSE	59%	61%	72%	51%	74%	57%	62%	54%	58%	45%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
TRUE	41%	41%	40%
FALSE	59%	59%	60%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Sup erannuitant	Unemploye d/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
TRUE	41%	31%	38%	22%	42%	45%	8%	46%	54%	31%	37%	46%	42%	56%
FALSE	59%	69%	62%	78%	58%	56%	92%	54%	46%	69%	63%	54%	58%	44%

N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37
----------------	-------	-----	----	----	----	-----	---	----	----	----	----	-----	----	----

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
TRUE	41%	32%	37%	39%	36%	38%	38%	47%	61%
FALSE	59%	68%	64%	61%	64%	62%	62%	53%	39%

N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
TRUE	41%	47%	36%	43%	50%	16%	34%	32%	46%	70%
FALSE	59%	53%	65%	57%	50%	84%	66%	68%	54%	31%

N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	---	----	----	----

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
TRUE	41%	62%	59%	36%	40%	32%	62%
FALSE	59%	38%	41%	64%	60%	68%	38%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
TRUE	41%	60%	25%	36%	43%	32%
FALSE	59%	40%	76%	64%	57%	68%
N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74

Fisheries management is all based on science and we have a remarkably robust and healthy fishery as a result of quota management	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
TRUE	41%	39%	42%	40%
FALSE	59%	61%	58%	60%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
TRUE	36%	34%	37%
FALSE	64%	66%	63%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
TRUE	36%	54%	35%	28%	29%	32%	28%	52%
FALSE	64%	46%	65%	72%	71%	68%	72%	48%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
TRUE	36%	53%	24%	30%	42%	31%	28%	20%	14%	45%
FALSE	64%	48%	76%	71%	58%	69%	72%	80%	86%	55%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/prefer not to say
TRUE	36%	43%	24%	38%	22%	32%	26%	41%	16%	46%
FALSE	64%	57%	76%	62%	78%	68%	74%	60%	84%	54%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
TRUE	36%	33%	40%
FALSE	64%	67%	60%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
TRUE	36%	17%	38%	19%	40%	33%	8%	37%	55%	23%	37%	42%	46%	49%
FALSE	64%	83%	62%	81%	60%	67%	92%	63%	46%	77%	63%	58%	54%	51%

N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37
----------------	-------	-----	----	----	----	-----	---	----	----	----	----	-----	----	----

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
TRUE	36%	18%	31%	35%	27%	36%	34%	46%	45%
FALSE	64%	82%	69%	65%	73%	64%	66%	54%	55%

N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
TRUE	36%	42%	31%	38%	45%	18%	39%	22%	41%	67%
FALSE	64%	58%	69%	62%	55%	82%	61%	78%	59%	33%

N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	---	----	----	----

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
TRUE	41%	43%	62%	37%	33%	24%	47%
FALSE	59%	57%	38%	63%	67%	76%	53%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
TRUE	36%	60%	15%	30%	38%	35%
FALSE	64%	41%	85%	70%	62%	65%

N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	----

Research on fish stocks is comprehensive and the state of fish stocks in all areas is well known and managed	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
TRUE	36%	30%	32%	37%
FALSE	64%	70%	68%	63%

N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
100%	5%	5%	5%
95%	2%	2%	1%
90%	3%	2%	4%
85%	4%	3%	5%
80%	6%	4%	7%
75%	7%	8%	7%
70%	9%	7%	11%
65%	5%	6%	4%
60%	6%	7%	5%
55%	4%	6%	2%
50%	13%	10%	15%
45%	3%	3%	3%
40%	6%	8%	4%
35%	2%	2%	3%
30%	5%	5%	5%
25%	4%	4%	5%
20%	6%	8%	4%
15%	4%	4%	4%
10%	4%	4%	4%
5%	2%	2%	1%
0%	1%	1%	1%
N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
100%	5%	10%	13%	5%	2%	2%	1%	3%
95%	2%	4%	0%	2%	1%	0%	3%	0%
90%	3%	3%	3%	8%	1%	3%	2%	1%
85%	4%	5%	1%	3%	6%	1%	5%	7%
80%	6%	4%	7%	7%	6%	5%	5%	5%
75%	7%	6%	11%	4%	7%	4%	9%	17%
70%	9%	14%	11%	5%	8%	12%	5%	8%
65%	5%	6%	2%	5%	4%	3%	8%	8%
60%	6%	10%	5%	4%	4%	7%	8%	6%
55%	4%	9%	1%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%
50%	13%	9%	10%	13%	14%	17%	15%	13%
45%	3%	3%	6%	2%	1%	5%	1%	6%
40%	6%	1%	3%	6%	12%	8%	4%	3%
35%	2%	1%	1%	4%	4%	4%	1%	1%
30%	5%	4%	13%	5%	4%	3%	4%	1%
25%	4%	1%	3%	11%	5%	2%	4%	7%
20%	6%	1%	7%	5%	7%	9%	6%	5%
15%	4%	6%	3%	4%	3%	2%	8%	1%
10%	4%	3%	1%	4%	5%	4%	9%	4%
5%	2%	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	2%	0%
0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	5%	0%	0%
N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
100%	5%	13%	4%	1%	14%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%
95%	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
90%	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%	1%	0%	5%
85%	4%	6%	11%	1%	2%	5%	4%	0%	0%	2%
80%	6%	5%	3%	8%	11%	4%	3%	3%	5%	5%
75%	7%	6%	3%	8%	6%	5%	5%	2%	6%	17%
70%	9%	3%	6%	8%	12%	8%	16%	5%	3%	10%
65%	5%	5%	4%	2%	2%	6%	5%	8%	9%	8%
60%	6%	14%	4%	10%	3%	9%	3%	7%	4%	1%
55%	4%	0%	13%	4%	4%	8%	0%	0%	0%	1%
50%	13%	18%	14%	20%	10%	11%	11%	8%	9%	11%
45%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	4%	6%	3%	4%
40%	6%	2%	5%	4%	14%	3%	6%	13%	27%	2%
35%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	5%	1%	2%
30%	5%	5%	1%	7%	4%	9%	9%	2%	6%	2%
25%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	2%	3%	9%	3%	7%
20%	6%	1%	1%	5%	3%	8%	12%	10%	13%	9%
15%	4%	1%	8%	1%	3%	3%	5%	19%	0%	4%
10%	4%	5%	5%	5%	1%	7%	6%	0%	2%	4%
5%	2%	2%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
0%	1%	3%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%
N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
100%	5%	12%	9%	2%	2%	0%	0%	23%	16%	0%
95%	2%	1%	5%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
90%	3%	3%	4%	2%	1%	2%	8%	0%	0%	6%
85%	4%	4%	6%	3%	3%	4%	2%	0%	0%	6%
80%	6%	5%	5%	10%	6%	5%	5%	5%	11%	3%
75%	7%	6%	4%	7%	3%	5%	3%	2%	0%	17%
70%	9%	5%	6%	16%	9%	10%	15%	9%	0%	9%
65%	5%	6%	6%	2%	1%	13%	0%	6%	0%	4%
60%	6%	9%	11%	6%	3%	4%	5%	12%	0%	2%
55%	4%	3%	1%	3%	8%	3%	0%	0%	0%	8%
50%	13%	12%	16%	18%	12%	10%	8%	7%	0%	12%
45%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	0%	7%	5%	6%	2%
40%	6%	5%	2%	5%	15%	3%	13%	21%	23%	2%
35%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	11%	8%	1%
30%	5%	6%	5%	5%	4%	10%	6%	0%	0%	2%
25%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	5%	3%	0%	0%	6%
20%	6%	3%	4%	2%	5%	11%	19%	0%	18%	9%
15%	4%	5%	3%	5%	7%	0%	5%	0%	0%	1%
10%	4%	4%	2%	2%	6%	8%	0%	0%	4%	5%
5%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	11%	1%
0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%
N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
100%	5%	3%	9%
95%	2%	2%	1%
90%	3%	3%	3%
85%	4%	3%	6%
80%	6%	6%	5%
75%	7%	7%	7%
70%	9%	10%	7%
65%	5%	5%	5%
60%	6%	5%	8%
55%	4%	5%	3%
50%	13%	13%	13%
45%	3%	2%	4%
40%	6%	7%	3%
35%	2%	2%	3%
30%	5%	5%	5%
25%	4%	5%	3%
20%	6%	7%	3%
15%	4%	3%	4%
10%	4%	4%	5%
5%	2%	1%	2%
0%	1%	1%	2%
N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
100%	5%	0%	9%	5%	2%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	1%	3%	16%	19%
95%	2%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	8%
90%	3%	1%	4%	2%	16%	2%	8%	1%	4%	5%	4%	2%	2%	4%
85%	4%	2%	5%	1%	7%	3%	0%	4%	5%	0%	1%	6%	14%	3%
80%	6%	13%	11%	5%	4%	5%	0%	4%	5%	5%	9%	4%	6%	1%
75%	7%	4%	2%	1%	8%	5%	0%	8%	4%	0%	3%	13%	6%	19%
70%	9%	7%	10%	11%	3%	12%	0%	10%	23%	4%	5%	7%	18%	5%
65%	5%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	0%	12%	3%	7%	12%	7%	1%	2%
60%	6%	4%	6%	5%	8%	4%	0%	2%	8%	0%	9%	7%	2%	3%
55%	4%	18%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	22%	7%	1%	2%	1%	5%
50%	13%	9%	7%	14%	8%	21%	47%	13%	8%	29%	16%	13%	3%	7%
45%	3%	1%	5%	1%	2%	2%	24%	6%	0%	0%	8%	3%	4%	2%
40%	6%	4%	6%	6%	7%	16%	12%	12%	1%	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%
35%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	0%	3%	4%	1%	3%	1%	3%	0%
30%	5%	17%	3%	4%	2%	5%	0%	1%	2%	20%	3%	3%	5%	1%
25%	4%	5%	15%	5%	5%	5%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	6%	0%	8%
20%	6%	5%	2%	19%	6%	9%	9%	3%	3%	5%	2%	7%	0%	6%
15%	4%	0%	3%	1%	7%	1%	0%	11%	0%	1%	13%	2%	6%	1%
10%	4%	1%	7%	8%	2%	3%	0%	4%	1%	4%	3%	7%	5%	2%
5%	2%	5%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%
0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	3%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	4%	0%
N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
100%	5%	1%	1%	2%	0%	4%	7%	7%	15%
95%	2%	1%	3%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	5%
90%	3%	1%	3%	4%	8%	3%	3%	2%	3%
85%	4%	2%	2%	3%	6%	0%	3%	6%	15%
80%	6%	3%	7%	10%	2%	5%	5%	3%	7%
75%	7%	8%	8%	4%	2%	6%	6%	17%	7%
70%	9%	6%	9%	11%	3%	7%	14%	9%	7%
65%	5%	5%	2%	5%	4%	7%	5%	2%	5%
60%	6%	3%	5%	9%	6%	11%	3%	4%	2%
55%	4%	2%	2%	4%	4%	7%	0%	4%	7%
50%	13%	15%	14%	18%	17%	11%	16%	11%	4%
45%	3%	2%	4%	1%	4%	5%	1%	1%	5%
40%	6%	9%	9%	6%	6%	7%	4%	7%	3%
35%	2%	5%	4%	2%	8%	1%	1%	3%	5%
30%	5%	10%	10%	6%	7%	2%	4%	3%	4%
25%	4%	6%	3%	5%	15%	3%	4%	4%	5%
20%	6%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	7%	12%	0%
15%	4%	5%	2%	1%	2%	9%	4%	1%	0%
10%	4%	3%	3%	5%	0%	4%	9%	3%	0%
5%	2%	7%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%
0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%
N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no one at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
100%	5%	4%	2%	3%	4%	1%	34%	9%	3%	29%
95%	2%	4%	1%	1%	7%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
90%	3%	3%	2%	5%	3%	3%	0%	3%	9%	1%
85%	4%	6%	2%	5%	4%	14%	0%	2%	2%	0%
80%	6%	9%	4%	6%	2%	5%	0%	8%	7%	4%
75%	7%	11%	6%	7%	11%	4%	0%	3%	0%	1%
70%	9%	5%	11%	11%	5%	8%	4%	6%	29%	0%
65%	5%	4%	3%	8%	13%	2%	3%	2%	1%	5%
60%	6%	10%	9%	5%	0%	3%	0%	7%	4%	0%
55%	4%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	18%	5%	28%
50%	13%	14%	15%	9%	13%	14%	41%	5%	19%	5%
45%	3%	6%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	11%
40%	6%	4%	9%	7%	9%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%
35%	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	4%	0%	1%	1%	0%
30%	5%	4%	4%	8%	2%	6%	7%	6%	8%	0%
25%	4%	6%	6%	4%	1%	6%	9%	3%	1%	4%
20%	6%	1%	10%	6%	3%	6%	0%	5%	6%	0%
15%	4%	2%	1%	4%	6%	10%	0%	14%	1%	7%
10%	4%	2%	6%	2%	11%	7%	0%	5%	1%	1%
5%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%
0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
100%	5%	0%	7%	9%	2%	0%	3%
95%	2%	1%	10%	2%	1%	0%	8%
90%	3%	2%	19%	3%	3%	0%	0%
85%	4%	3%	0%	1%	5%	0%	17%
80%	6%	7%	8%	5%	5%	7%	0%
75%	7%	4%	14%	7%	9%	4%	2%
70%	9%	6%	6%	7%	10%	8%	33%
65%	5%	19%	4%	3%	5%	9%	9%
60%	6%	4%	3%	8%	6%	12%	2%
55%	4%	2%	4%	5%	4%	0%	12%
50%	13%	33%	0%	14%	12%	24%	10%
45%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	0%
40%	6%	0%	15%	4%	6%	2%	1%
35%	2%	1%	2%	5%	2%	8%	0%
30%	5%	8%	2%	7%	6%	5%	0%
25%	4%	7%	5%	4%	5%	2%	4%
20%	6%	0%	0%	4%	6%	12%	2%
15%	4%	0%	0%	1%	5%	0%	0%
10%	4%	0%	0%	4%	4%	3%	0%
5%	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%
0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
100%	5%	18%	0%	4%	0%	3%
95%	2%	0%	1%	0%	3%	2%
90%	3%	0%	1%	4%	5%	2%
85%	4%	2%	8%	4%	4%	2%
80%	6%	0%	6%	5%	7%	10%
75%	7%	33%	2%	6%	6%	14%
70%	9%	3%	3%	8%	12%	6%
65%	5%	0%	3%	6%	3%	2%
60%	6%	26%	1%	7%	3%	4%
55%	4%	0%	4%	3%	1%	0%
50%	13%	9%	22%	16%	13%	16%
45%	3%	0%	1%	6%	5%	1%
40%	6%	0%	7%	4%	9%	6%
35%	2%	0%	1%	4%	1%	2%
30%	5%	3%	14%	4%	5%	1%
25%	4%	3%	8%	3%	7%	12%
20%	6%	0%	7%	6%	7%	3%
15%	4%	0%	5%	2%	5%	7%
10%	4%	3%	2%	6%	4%	3%
5%	2%	0%	3%	1%	0%	6%
0%	1%	0%	3%	3%	1%	0%
N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74

What percentage of New Zealand's fish stocks do you believe have been scientifically assessed?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
100%	5%	3%	5%	6%
95%	2%	2%	1%	1%
90%	3%	2%	2%	4%
85%	4%	3%	4%	4%
80%	6%	4%	7%	6%
75%	7%	8%	6%	8%
70%	9%	8%	9%	10%
65%	5%	5%	3%	6%
60%	6%	9%	7%	5%
55%	4%	4%	0%	5%
50%	13%	12%	18%	11%
45%	3%	3%	5%	2%
40%	6%	5%	5%	6%
35%	2%	2%	2%	3%
30%	5%	4%	4%	6%
25%	4%	5%	3%	5%
20%	6%	8%	9%	5%
15%	4%	4%	4%	4%
10%	4%	6%	4%	4%
5%	2%	2%	3%	1%
0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
TRUE	47%	43%	51%
FALSE	53%	58%	49%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
TRUE	47%	53%	43%	49%	37%	51%	52%	55%
FALSE	53%	47%	57%	51%	63%	49%	49%	45%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
TRUE	47%	50%	32%	47%	54%	41%	50%	33%	31%	56%
FALSE	53%	50%	68%	53%	46%	59%	50%	67%	69%	44%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/prefer not to say
TRUE	47%	52%	45%	50%	40%	45%	46%	38%	41%	45%
FALSE	53%	48%	56%	50%	60%	56%	54%	62%	59%	55%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
TRUE	47%	44%	52%
FALSE	53%	56%	48%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
TRUE	47%	39%	53%	33%	55%	46%	8%	42%	48%	48%	43%	56%	59%	40%
FALSE	53%	61%	47%	68%	45%	54%	92%	58%	53%	52%	57%	45%	41%	60%

N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37
----------------	-------	-----	----	----	----	-----	---	----	----	----	----	-----	----	----

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
TRUE	47%	37%	48%	51%	38%	43%	48%	48%	57%
FALSE	53%	63%	52%	49%	62%	57%	52%	52%	44%

N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
TRUE	47%	53%	47%	49%	36%	21%	70%	37%	65%	52%
FALSE	53%	47%	53%	51%	64%	79%	30%	63%	35%	48%

N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	---	----	----	----

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
TRUE	41%	75%	68%	39%	46%	29%	43%
FALSE	59%	25%	32%	61%	54%	71%	57%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
TRUE	47%	67%	29%	39%	58%	44%
FALSE	53%	33%	71%	61%	42%	56%
N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74

97% of New Zealand's fish stocks come from sustainable sources so what you see in the supermarket is a result of quota management and sustainable fisheries management	ALL	In the past 5 years, have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
TRUE	47%	45%	47%	46%
FALSE	53%	55%	53%	54%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
TRUE	42%	42%	43%
FALSE	58%	58%	57%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
TRUE	42%	46%	44%	39%	38%	43%	50%	36%
FALSE	58%	54%	56%	61%	62%	57%	50%	64%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
TRUE	42%	63%	43%	41%	30%	41%	48%	53%	38%	35%
FALSE	58%	37%	57%	59%	71%	59%	52%	47%	62%	65%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/prefer not to say
TRUE	42%	45%	34%	41%	36%	57%	61%	58%	34%	36%
FALSE	58%	55%	66%	59%	64%	43%	39%	43%	66%	64%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
TRUE	42%	40%	46%
FALSE	58%	60%	54%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
TRUE	42%	38%	28%	48%	25%	40%	88%	61%	29%	37%	52%	40%	65%	19%
FALSE	58%	62%	72%	52%	75%	60%	12%	39%	71%	63%	49%	60%	35%	81%

N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37
----------------	-------	-----	----	----	----	-----	---	----	----	----	----	-----	----	----

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
TRUE	42%	42%	45%	42%	37%	40%	47%	49%	31%
FALSE	58%	59%	55%	58%	64%	60%	53%	51%	69%

N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
TRUE	42%	43%	44%	38%	41%	59%	72%	41%	33%	35%
FALSE	58%	57%	56%	62%	59%	41%	28%	59%	67%	65%

N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	---	----	----	----

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
TRUE	41%	61%	28%	46%	41%	56%	21%
FALSE	59%	39%	73%	54%	60%	44%	79%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
TRUE	42%	37%	51%	45%	39%	38%
FALSE	58%	63%	49%	56%	61%	63%

N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	----

Bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries, those within the 12 nautical mile limit, is allowed in New Zealand	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
TRUE	42%	52%	58%	34%
FALSE	58%	49%	42%	66%

N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
TRUE	80%	84%	77%
FALSE	20%	16%	23%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
TRUE	80%	66%	79%	84%	84%	84%	83%	88%
FALSE	20%	35%	21%	16%	16%	16%	17%	12%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
TRUE	80%	72%	89%	87%	75%	85%	79%	86%	70%	76%
FALSE	20%	28%	11%	13%	25%	15%	21%	14%	30%	25%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
TRUE	80%	73%	83%	85%	87%	83%	80%	76%	72%	79%
FALSE	20%	27%	17%	16%	13%	17%	20%	24%	28%	21%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
TRUE	80%	81%	80%
FALSE	20%	19%	21%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supperannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
TRUE	80%	86%	80%	77%	81%	83%	83%	91%	74%	69%	71%	86%	83%	67%
FALSE	20%	14%	20%	23%	19%	17%	17%	9%	26%	31%	29%	14%	17%	33%
N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
TRUE	80%	85%	85%	80%	82%	82%	73%	87%	73%
FALSE	20%	15%	15%	20%	18%	18%	27%	13%	27%

N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
TRUE	80%	80%	82%	79%	78%	95%	93%	86%	77%	58%
FALSE	20%	20%	18%	21%	22%	6%	7%	14%	23%	42%

N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	---	----	----	----

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
TRUE	41%	75%	62%	85%	82%	77%	70%
FALSE	59%	25%	39%	16%	18%	23%	30%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
TRUE	80%	80%	92%	78%	82%	78%
FALSE	20%	20%	8%	23%	18%	23%

N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	----

Between 1950 and 2010 commercial fishers in New Zealand may have caught over 30 million tonnes of fish, but only reported catching 14 million tonnes to the United Nations - with most of the difference dumped at sea.	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
TRUE	80%	83%	76%	80%
FALSE	20%	17%	24%	20%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
Yes, from a boat	28%	36%	20%
Yes, from the land	24%	24%	23%
No, I haven't fished in the sea	59%	53%	65%

N (unweighted)	1,046	567	584
----------------	-------	-----	-----

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
Yes, from a boat	28%	28%	29%	33%	23%	31%	34%	16%
Yes, from the land	24%	36%	30%	27%	18%	16%	21%	12%
No, I haven't fished in the sea	59%	48%	57%	52%	67%	62%	58%	74%

N (unweighted)	1,046	84	135	218	247	218	166	83
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
Yes, from a boat	28%	21%	28%	22%	21%	33%	40%	63%	54%	22%
Yes, from the land	24%	39%	13%	22%	16%	22%	19%	51%	18%	29%
No, I haven't fished in the sea	59%	52%	66%	63%	69%	56%	54%	32%	46%	59%

N (unweighted)	1,046	91	109	145	174	203	173	64	38	145
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
Yes, from a boat	28%	15%	30%	29%	26%	54%	44%	45%	73%	25%
Yes, from the land	24%	22%	31%	26%	18%	26%	13%	11%	15%	25%
No, I haven't fished in the sea	59%	67%	53%	58%	65%	42%	52%	56%	27%	60%

N (unweighted)	1,046	224	143	208	173	151	70	17	18	139
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
Yes, from a boat	28%	34%	18%
Yes, from the land	24%	25%	21%
No, I haven't fished in the sea	59%	54%	67%

N (unweighted)	1,046	734	410
----------------	-------	-----	-----

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Sup erannuitant	Unemploye d/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
Yes, from a boat	28%	34%	57%	45%	17%	28%	32%	37%	32%	8%	15%	19%	15%	14%
Yes, from the land	24%	21%	28%	26%	22%	17%	47%	32%	22%	19%	41%	14%	25%	17%
No, I haven't fished in the sea	59%	56%	28%	51%	68%	59%	22%	51%	57%	76%	53%	72%	67%	78%

N (unweighted)	1,046	128	76	116	102	110	5	94	37	57	65	216	69	42
----------------	-------	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	---	----	----	----	----	-----	----	----

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
Yes, from a boat	28%	29%	30%	30%	27%	36%	23%	29%	8%
Yes, from the land	24%	16%	19%	22%	24%	28%	22%	26%	17%
No, I haven't fished in the sea	59%	64%	62%	56%	54%	52%	62%	56%	78%

N (unweighted)	1,046	160	307	256	70	119	101	69	33
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	----

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
Yes, from a boat	28%	19%	29%	33%	25%	22%	16%	37%	19%	32%
Yes, from the land	24%	17%	23%	24%	25%	29%	37%	39%	7%	19%
No, I haven't fished in the sea	59%	71%	56%	55%	58%	66%	51%	47%	78%	61%

N (unweighted)	1,046	184	355	276	78	82	10	74	52	26
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	----	----	----

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
Yes, from a boat	28%	24%	28%	28%	30%	23%	25%
Yes, from the land	24%	40%	14%	34%	22%	24%	17%
No, I haven't fished in the sea	59%	50%	63%	56%	59%	57%	70%
N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19

In the past five years have you fished in the sea?	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
Yes, from a boat	28%	15%	22%	22%	35%	30%
Yes, from the land	24%	9%	19%	25%	15%	30%
No, I haven't fished in the sea	59%	83%	68%	64%	57%	52%
N (unweighted)	1,046	17	113	322	251	82

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
They remain abundant	7%	9%	4%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	6%	7%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	37%	34%
They are much less abundant	32%	35%	29%
I'm really not sure	20%	14%	26%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
They remain abundant	7%	18%	6%	8%	2%	4%	4%	4%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	16%	7%	3%	5%	6%	3%	5%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	26%	46%	27%	38%	36%	38%	41%
They are much less abundant	32%	8%	23%	39%	34%	42%	46%	37%
I'm really not sure	20%	33%	19%	23%	21%	11%	9%	13%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
They remain abundant	7%	12%	8%	4%	4%	5%	7%	6%	3%	8%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	17%	6%	3%	6%	8%	11%	2%	0%	0%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	32%	30%	33%	28%	44%	36%	31%	42%	44%
They are much less abundant	32%	15%	30%	43%	34%	27%	39%	61%	39%	24%
I'm really not sure	20%	24%	26%	17%	28%	17%	7%	1%	16%	24%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
They remain abundant	7%	7%	5%	1%	4%	8%	11%	21%	0%	11%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	12%	4%	7%	6%	6%	8%	0%	0%	1%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	34%	30%	25%	40%	49%	27%	23%	32%	42%
They are much less abundant	32%	25%	40%	42%	31%	33%	42%	56%	56%	20%
I'm really not sure	20%	22%	20%	25%	19%	4%	11%	0%	12%	26%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
They remain abundant	7%	6%	8%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	8%	4%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	36%	34%
They are much less abundant	32%	32%	30%
I'm really not sure	20%	18%	23%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
They remain abundant	7%	3%	17%	6%	10%	2%	0%	2%	19%	4%	12%	4%	1%	0%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	0%	3%	6%	1%	12%	47%	10%	6%	6%	17%	3%	10%	0%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	39%	50%	34%	36%	25%	8%	42%	26%	23%	49%	42%	21%	48%
They are much less abundant	32%	32%	22%	42%	38%	40%	46%	33%	27%	53%	14%	41%	14%	18%
I'm really not sure	20%	25%	9%	12%	16%	22%	0%	14%	23%	14%	9%	10%	55%	33%
N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
They remain abundant	7%	7%	5%	3%	5%	12%	5%	4%	2%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	3%	6%	6%	2%	8%	11%	7%	4%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	43%	36%	41%	49%	30%	34%	37%	33%
They are much less abundant	32%	41%	37%	40%	28%	30%	30%	33%	14%
I'm really not sure	20%	7%	17%	11%	16%	21%	20%	19%	47%
N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no one at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
They remain abundant	7%	11%	8%	4%	8%	0%	0%	1%	1%	22%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	3%	8%	8%	6%	2%	2%	6%	11%	11%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	36%	36%	41%	48%	31%	19%	29%	25%	18%
They are much less abundant	32%	31%	37%	32%	24%	39%	37%	27%	28%	8%
I'm really not sure	20%	20%	11%	15%	14%	28%	43%	38%	35%	41%
N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
They remain abundant	7%	5%	21%	3%	6%	9%	8%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	19%	8%	7%	6%	11%	12%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	56%	25%	35%	38%	49%	13%
They are much less abundant	32%	9%	23%	34%	35%	20%	2%
I'm really not sure	20%	12%	23%	21%	16%	10%	65%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
They remain abundant	7%	25%	0%	4%	8%	2%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	0%	2%	7%	7%	7%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	40%	40%	31%	45%	26%
They are much less abundant	32%	31%	49%	37%	27%	49%
I'm really not sure	20%	4%	10%	22%	13%	16%

N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	----

In your opinion, which of these generally best describes New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks, those within 12 nautical miles of the coast?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
They remain abundant	7%	13%	7%	3%
They are becoming more abundant	7%	8%	11%	4%
They are becoming less abundant	35%	35%	31%	37%
They are much less abundant	32%	32%	38%	31%
I'm really not sure	20%	12%	13%	26%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
Yes	75%	79%	72%
No	7%	9%	5%
I'm really not sure	18%	12%	23%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
Yes	75%	70%	71%	80%	70%	82%	84%	79%
No	7%	9%	16%	2%	10%	4%	2%	4%
I'm really not sure	18%	21%	13%	18%	21%	14%	15%	18%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
Yes	75%	63%	70%	74%	80%	79%	78%	89%	83%	74%
No	7%	11%	5%	1%	7%	10%	7%	3%	17%	9%
I'm really not sure	18%	26%	25%	25%	13%	11%	15%	8%	0%	18%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
Yes	75%	67%	83%	81%	71%	83%	83%	94%	73%	71%
No	7%	8%	3%	2%	17%	6%	2%	6%	27%	8%
I'm really not sure	18%	25%	14%	17%	12%	11%	16%	0%	0%	21%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
Yes	75%	75%	76%
No	7%	8%	5%
I'm really not sure	18%	17%	19%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supperrannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
Yes	75%	78%	86%	77%	75%	68%	100%	79%	70%	84%	66%	78%	67%	66%
No	7%	15%	10%	4%	12%	3%	0%	7%	7%	0%	14%	3%	5%	21%
I'm really not sure	18%	8%	4%	20%	13%	29%	0%	14%	23%	16%	20%	19%	29%	13%
N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
Yes	75%	90%	80%	82%	78%	75%	70%	70%	66%
No	7%	4%	4%	5%	5%	9%	8%	11%	6%
I'm really not sure	18%	6%	16%	14%	17%	16%	22%	20%	28%

N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
Yes	75%	66%	78%	80%	67%	79%	64%	68%	64%	83%
No	7%	9%	4%	11%	10%	0%	0%	20%	3%	4%
I'm really not sure	18%	25%	19%	9%	23%	21%	37%	12%	33%	13%

N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	---	----	----	----

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
Yes	75%	85%	68%	76%	75%	84%	38%
No	7%	0%	8%	13%	9%	0%	0%
I'm really not sure	18%	15%	23%	12%	16%	16%	62%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
Yes	75%	73%	85%	83%	72%	82%
No	7%	25%	0%	3%	5%	10%
I'm really not sure	18%	2%	15%	14%	23%	8%

N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	----

In principle, do you think people taking marine fish and other public natural resources for profit should pay a resource rental?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
Yes	75%	84%	75%	73%
No	7%	3%	5%	9%
I'm really not sure	18%	13%	20%	18%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
Excellent	2%	1%	2%
Very good	5%	5%	6%
Good	15%	15%	14%
Neither good nor poor	25%	24%	25%
Poor	24%	25%	24%
Very poor	10%	12%	8%
Extremely poor	11%	12%	11%
I really don't know	9%	7%	10%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
Excellent	2%	4%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Very good	5%	15%	4%	8%	3%	1%	2%	3%
Good	15%	13%	17%	9%	12%	19%	18%	24%
Neither good nor poor	25%	23%	30%	22%	24%	17%	27%	36%
Poor	24%	20%	26%	23%	24%	31%	24%	28%
Very poor	10%	2%	9%	15%	10%	11%	16%	5%
Extremely poor	11%	12%	7%	11%	13%	18%	10%	2%
I really don't know	9%	12%	5%	12%	15%	3%	2%	2%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
Excellent	2%	6%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Very good	5%	11%	0%	2%	10%	5%	5%	1%	3%	6%
Good	15%	15%	15%	18%	15%	14%	15%	8%	20%	12%
Neither good nor poor	25%	36%	28%	32%	19%	17%	16%	20%	25%	29%
Poor	24%	8%	26%	30%	29%	22%	24%	20%	22%	30%
Very poor	10%	4%	1%	9%	7%	12%	16%	35%	12%	11%
Extremely poor	11%	13%	12%	6%	11%	16%	18%	9%	11%	5%
I really don't know	9%	7%	18%	5%	6%	14%	8%	6%	8%	6%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
Excellent	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	21%	0%	1%
Very good	5%	11%	2%	3%	1%	7%	2%	0%	0%	6%
Good	15%	15%	15%	12%	19%	11%	15%	5%	16%	16%
Neither good nor poor	25%	32%	21%	28%	17%	14%	23%	18%	29%	26%
Poor	24%	16%	43%	26%	25%	17%	21%	47%	28%	26%
Very poor	10%	4%	3%	14%	13%	22%	8%	2%	8%	10%
Extremely poor	11%	14%	7%	10%	10%	15%	27%	6%	19%	5%
I really don't know	9%	7%	7%	6%	14%	15%	4%	0%	0%	11%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
Excellent	2%	1%	2%
Very good	5%	5%	6%
Good	15%	13%	17%
Neither good nor poor	25%	23%	28%
Poor	24%	25%	24%
Very poor	10%	12%	6%
Extremely poor	11%	12%	11%
I really don't know	9%	10%	6%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
Excellent	2%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	4%	3%	5%	2%	0%	3%	0%
Very good	5%	2%	10%	5%	7%	3%	0%	1%	4%	1%	17%	2%	2%	21%
Good	15%	16%	19%	7%	16%	15%	0%	13%	8%	4%	20%	21%	23%	3%
Neither good nor poor	25%	9%	27%	18%	13%	16%	8%	21%	29%	32%	18%	32%	27%	35%
Poor	24%	28%	26%	40%	29%	29%	56%	21%	22%	27%	16%	27%	14%	18%
Very poor	10%	14%	12%	6%	15%	7%	0%	22%	10%	6%	6%	9%	7%	7%
Extremely poor	11%	13%	3%	21%	11%	16%	36%	9%	8%	15%	21%	7%	7%	6%
I really don't know	9%	17%	2%	4%	9%	13%	0%	10%	16%	10%	1%	3%	18%	9%
N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
Excellent	2%	1%	1%	3%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%
Very good	5%	3%	5%	2%	6%	3%	8%	2%	16%
Good	15%	18%	14%	15%	15%	14%	12%	14%	23%
Neither good nor poor	25%	13%	23%	26%	26%	22%	20%	43%	27%
Poor	24%	30%	28%	26%	29%	25%	27%	21%	13%
Very poor	10%	17%	14%	8%	8%	7%	13%	6%	10%
Extremely poor	11%	13%	9%	13%	7%	14%	10%	8%	7%
I really don't know	9%	6%	8%	8%	10%	13%	10%	5%	5%

N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
Excellent	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%
Very good	5%	3%	6%	6%	7%	0%	0%	0%	1%	26%
Good	15%	19%	16%	12%	3%	18%	31%	17%	16%	6%
Neither good nor poor	25%	26%	21%	26%	25%	21%	58%	8%	37%	20%
Poor	24%	31%	27%	26%	29%	18%	0%	22%	18%	8%
Very poor	10%	5%	11%	13%	11%	8%	2%	14%	7%	5%
Extremely poor	11%	9%	13%	10%	5%	26%	4%	10%	17%	2%
I really don't know	9%	6%	5%	6%	18%	8%	5%	26%	4%	28%

N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	---	----	----	----

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
Excellent	2%	12%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
Very good	5%	4%	23%	1%	3%	14%	7%
Good	15%	15%	15%	9%	16%	10%	5%
Neither good nor poor	25%	17%	30%	30%	24%	27%	49%
Poor	24%	36%	17%	25%	24%	22%	9%
Very poor	10%	4%	7%	12%	11%	13%	5%
Extremely poor	11%	0%	4%	17%	11%	3%	10%
I really don't know	9%	12%	3%	4%	9%	11%	16%
N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
Excellent	2%	18%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Very good	5%	0%	1%	2%	4%	2%
Good	15%	12%	3%	10%	21%	12%
Neither good nor poor	25%	31%	19%	26%	25%	16%
Poor	24%	28%	38%	24%	27%	28%
Very poor	10%	7%	12%	10%	9%	10%
Extremely poor	11%	3%	25%	15%	5%	29%
I really don't know	9%	2%	3%	11%	9%	4%
N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74

Overall, how would you rate the current management of commercial fisheries in New Zealand?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
Excellent	2%	2%	4%	1%
Very good	5%	6%	9%	4%
Good	15%	14%	19%	12%
Neither good nor poor	25%	21%	15%	29%
Poor	24%	24%	26%	25%
Very poor	10%	14%	13%	7%
Extremely poor	11%	13%	11%	12%
I really don't know	9%	7%	5%	10%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	11%	6%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	55%	52%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	55%	52%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	14%	11%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	52%	58%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	63%	52%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	1%	2%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	3%	3%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	71%	68%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	67%	58%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	3%	3%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	58%	49%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	28%	34%
None of these	6%	3%	8%
N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	31%	4%	7%	3%	2%	4%	3%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	31%	48%	43%	55%	69%	75%	77%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	36%	47%	48%	51%	71%	72%	69%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	13%	20%	9%	11%	7%	12%	19%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	46%	59%	50%	56%	68%	57%	52%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	39%	40%	52%	62%	73%	75%	74%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	0%	6%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	6%	6%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	60%	56%	61%	71%	82%	83%	81%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	42%	54%	57%	61%	75%	82%	85%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	7%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	0%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	44%	44%	53%	50%	61%	69%	65%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	21%	21%	31%	33%	43%	39%	39%
None of these	6%	5%	5%	10%	7%	4%	1%	3%
N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	28%	1%	2%	17%	3%	4%	4%	0%	7%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	36%	51%	54%	45%	58%	72%	75%	76%	54%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	46%	44%	56%	45%	59%	61%	78%	56%	58%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	13%	14%	15%	19%	6%	5%	5%	7%	15%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	54%	41%	53%	49%	57%	74%	64%	69%	56%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	50%	46%	58%	65%	61%	70%	70%	77%	46%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	0%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	4%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	66%	67%	74%	70%	67%	78%	86%	83%	59%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	50%	48%	71%	70%	63%	72%	80%	79%	54%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	2%	0%	7%	1%	1%	3%	0%	0%	6%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	44%	42%	58%	60%	52%	60%	74%	61%	51%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	26%	35%	37%	22%	35%	44%	25%	35%	26%
None of these	6%	1%	8%	8%	11%	3%	4%	3%	0%	4%
N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	19%	7%	4%	5%	4%	1%	21%	0%	5%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	46%	58%	56%	61%	62%	70%	49%	75%	45%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	46%	58%	52%	59%	65%	59%	56%	48%	51%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	17%	9%	12%	8%	5%	3%	4%	0%	19%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	51%	48%	58%	54%	66%	76%	29%	65%	54%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	53%	55%	69%	60%	62%	75%	57%	89%	45%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	0%	1%	1%	4%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	1%	5%	3%	3%	3%	3%	0%	0%	3%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	68%	68%	72%	74%	70%	82%	52%	79%	62%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	58%	60%	70%	63%	75%	77%	46%	66%	51%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	4%	0%	7%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	47%	53%	56%	61%	59%	71%	49%	64%	46%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	33%	29%	31%	35%	31%	50%	26%	38%	25%
None of these	6%	8%	6%	8%	4%	4%	2%	0%	0%	2%
N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	6%	13%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	55%	52%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	54%	54%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	9%	19%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	56%	54%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	56%	61%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	2%	1%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	2%	4%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	69%	69%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	60%	65%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	3%	3%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	53%	55%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	30%	34%
None of these	6%	7%	4%
N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	4%	21%	1%	3%	2%	0%	4%	11%	5%	25%	4%	7%	19%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	67%	49%	66%	65%	50%	66%	53%	39%	45%	42%	74%	33%	45%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	61%	49%	62%	61%	44%	100%	62%	46%	49%	57%	69%	34%	36%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	8%	6%	5%	2%	5%	8%	2%	18%	17%	9%	15%	26%	38%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	59%	64%	76%	61%	53%	92%	51%	37%	55%	63%	57%	40%	38%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	62%	69%	72%	66%	59%	91%	50%	46%	63%	40%	72%	41%	51%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	4%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	3%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	2%	4%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	5%	6%	7%	2%	0%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	82%	65%	83%	74%	62%	83%	63%	71%	64%	62%	80%	59%	62%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	65%	74%	81%	58%	53%	83%	54%	53%	63%	54%	82%	39%	59%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	0%	1%	14%	4%	13%	0%	0%	1%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	60%	57%	74%	54%	38%	79%	45%	47%	54%	58%	63%	35%	55%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	28%	27%	40%	25%	32%	71%	27%	15%	21%	38%	41%	31%	32%
None of these	6%	2%	2%	1%	4%	19%	0%	4%	0%	12%	0%	2%	11%	8%
N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	6%	5%	5%	4%	9%	13%	3%	17%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	81%	63%	62%	61%	47%	51%	48%	43%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	75%	60%	60%	55%	49%	49%	54%	45%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	13%	8%	9%	10%	6%	11%	23%	29%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	67%	65%	58%	58%	59%	55%	40%	40%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	70%	60%	63%	65%	59%	54%	51%	49%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	0%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	3%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	82%	72%	71%	70%	66%	73%	64%	64%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	77%	68%	69%	64%	57%	57%	60%	62%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	0%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	7%	2%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	65%	59%	58%	56%	49%	58%	40%	50%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	36%	29%	38%	27%	28%	41%	29%	22%
None of these	6%	2%	3%	6%	5%	8%	6%	4%	2%
N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no one at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	11%	9%	7%	8%	0%	0%	12%	6%	26%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	60%	56%	64%	39%	50%	23%	49%	45%	22%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	58%	59%	54%	44%	54%	21%	55%	50%	30%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	14%	8%	10%	11%	15%	0%	12%	24%	37%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	49%	63%	59%	56%	57%	53%	55%	43%	12%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	57%	64%	56%	48%	63%	54%	49%	68%	48%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	9%	1%	0%	6%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	1%	0%	5%	0%	6%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	66%	77%	64%	59%	72%	64%	76%	79%	75%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	63%	70%	67%	45%	53%	52%	47%	69%	54%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	3%	1%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	21%	4%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	52%	60%	54%	51%	54%	12%	50%	57%	47%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	33%	31%	30%	43%	44%	11%	26%	45%	11%
None of these	6%	3%	7%	5%	7%	2%	36%	5%	2%	1%
N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	22%	15%	6%	4%	24%	7%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	54%	39%	49%	59%	54%	30%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	46%	42%	58%	58%	49%	33%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	8%	18%	12%	11%	6%	23%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	49%	29%	55%	56%	80%	23%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	31%	29%	53%	60%	67%	47%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	1%	8%	1%	1%	3%	0%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	4%	17%	0%	3%	6%	3%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	53%	40%	68%	71%	78%	65%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	54%	59%	59%	63%	65%	60%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	0%	4%	1%	2%	1%	27%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	44%	31%	47%	54%	76%	44%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	33%	14%	37%	34%	25%	8%
None of these	6%	5%	3%	2%	5%	2%	15%
N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	24%	0%	7%	5%	0%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	42%	72%	59%	62%	68%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	65%	82%	53%	57%	76%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	29%	2%	10%	12%	8%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	44%	81%	58%	54%	69%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	35%	86%	60%	59%	69%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	22%	0%	3%	3%	0%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	70%	94%	65%	73%	79%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	73%	81%	65%	63%	79%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	0%	1%	2%	3%	4%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	31%	72%	50%	57%	60%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	26%	58%	33%	34%	45%
None of these	6%	0%	3%	7%	6%	1%
N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74

With which of these arguments do you agree?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
New Zealand's in-shore fisheries stocks are well managed and plentiful	9%	5%	13%	7%
Some in-shore and deep water off-shore fisheries stocks face a crisis of depletion	54%	63%	58%	51%
New Zealand's in-shore fish stocks are being over-exploited commercially	54%	66%	62%	49%
I fully trust that all necessary research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	12%	7%	9%	14%
I do not believe all the research is being done to ensure fisheries are or become abundant	55%	61%	57%	55%
All mobile bottom trawling of in-shore fisheries should be stopped	57%	62%	54%	58%
Bottom trawling in areas close to the coast should continue	1%	1%	2%	1%
Enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	3%	5%	5%	2%
Not enough is being done to stop dumping of unwanted catch by commercial fishers	69%	73%	70%	68%
Cameras owned by the government should monitor fishing activity on all commercial fishing vessels	62%	65%	57%	63%
Commercial fishers can be trusted not to dump unwanted species they catch, and no cameras are needed on board their vessels	3%	1%	4%	3%
The government should independently conduct all fisheries research and fund it from a resource rental fee	53%	58%	55%	51%
The needs of the fish stocks are overlooked because the government is preoccupied with who can catch what	31%	28%	35%	32%
None of these	6%	2%	5%	7%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
Strongly agree	13%	17%	9%
Agree	36%	36%	37%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	22%	22%
Disagree	8%	8%	7%
Strongly disagree	4%	5%	3%
I'm really not sure	17%	12%	23%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
Strongly agree	13%	10%	9%	18%	10%	14%	16%	18%
Agree	36%	48%	33%	26%	34%	28%	45%	42%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	25%	35%	17%	23%	19%	12%	22%
Disagree	8%	4%	6%	9%	8%	15%	10%	1%
Strongly disagree	4%	0%	0%	5%	4%	10%	6%	4%
I'm really not sure	17%	14%	17%	25%	20%	15%	11%	14%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
Strongly agree	13%	16%	4%	9%	12%	18%	12%	17%	16%	17%
Agree	36%	28%	27%	38%	48%	37%	35%	66%	26%	30%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	33%	28%	23%	14%	17%	16%	1%	19%	32%
Disagree	8%	12%	10%	10%	6%	3%	10%	2%	7%	7%
Strongly disagree	4%	3%	5%	1%	2%	6%	11%	5%	13%	1%
I'm really not sure	17%	9%	26%	20%	19%	20%	16%	9%	20%	14%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
Strongly agree	13%	9%	10%	14%	13%	21%	18%	23%	28%	14%
Agree	36%	38%	36%	40%	37%	40%	34%	67%	20%	26%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	27%	32%	17%	16%	13%	9%	0%	23%	27%
Disagree	8%	7%	7%	7%	5%	3%	16%	5%	16%	12%
Strongly disagree	4%	4%	3%	2%	7%	7%	9%	6%	5%	1%
I'm really not sure	17%	15%	12%	21%	23%	16%	15%	0%	9%	20%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
Strongly agree	13%	14%	11%
Agree	36%	34%	40%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	22%	23%
Disagree	8%	8%	8%
Strongly disagree	4%	4%	4%
I'm really not sure	17%	19%	15%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supernannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
Strongly agree	13%	19%	26%	10%	9%	15%	0%	16%	10%	16%	9%	14%	8%	4%
Agree	36%	37%	33%	30%	32%	25%	54%	44%	20%	37%	60%	43%	20%	33%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	11%	14%	32%	22%	19%	9%	17%	41%	18%	21%	19%	27%	35%
Disagree	8%	6%	3%	7%	16%	15%	0%	8%	3%	4%	5%	4%	15%	8%
Strongly disagree	4%	3%	11%	5%	8%	1%	24%	3%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	4%
I'm really not sure	17%	25%	14%	17%	13%	27%	12%	12%	26%	26%	6%	14%	26%	17%

N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37
----------------	-------	-----	----	----	----	-----	---	----	----	----	----	-----	----	----

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
Strongly agree	13%	18%	14%	16%	7%	14%	10%	9%	12%
Agree	36%	45%	50%	34%	44%	39%	34%	24%	29%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	17%	13%	19%	24%	19%	27%	32%	26%
Disagree	8%	6%	6%	6%	3%	6%	5%	10%	19%
Strongly disagree	4%	6%	2%	5%	4%	3%	1%	12%	0%
I'm really not sure	17%	9%	14%	20%	18%	19%	23%	13%	14%
N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no ne at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding- not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
Strongly agree	13%	16%	14%	15%	16%	12%	4%	11%	1%	4%
Agree	36%	26%	42%	34%	33%	41%	7%	43%	35%	35%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	28%	19%	24%	20%	19%	34%	15%	35%	15%
Disagree	8%	12%	5%	6%	10%	17%	0%	3%	9%	12%
Strongly disagree	4%	3%	7%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%
I'm really not sure	17%	16%	14%	18%	18%	8%	53%	27%	18%	33%
N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
Strongly agree	13%	14%	22%	14%	13%	5%	15%
Agree	36%	54%	26%	23%	37%	52%	2%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	15%	33%	35%	19%	24%	49%
Disagree	8%	7%	8%	8%	7%	6%	8%
Strongly disagree	4%	0%	7%	3%	4%	4%	3%
I'm really not sure	17%	10%	3%	17%	19%	9%	23%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
Strongly agree	13%	3%	14%	16%	16%	9%
Agree	36%	38%	41%	32%	36%	37%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	38%	18%	28%	18%	16%
Disagree	8%	0%	8%	5%	8%	15%
Strongly disagree	4%	22%	2%	2%	3%	15%
I'm really not sure	17%	0%	18%	17%	19%	9%

N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	----

Do you agree or disagree with this proposal to buy back quota, and invite tenders to fish in the in-shore area and pay a resource rental?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
Strongly agree	13%	17%	14%	12%
Agree	36%	38%	39%	35%
Neither agree nor disagree	22%	17%	20%	24%
Disagree	8%	10%	11%	6%
Strongly disagree	4%	6%	5%	3%
I'm really not sure	17%	13%	12%	20%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633

Some companies with large fishing investments made donations to the 2017 election campaigns of some Members of Parliament.

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL	SEX	
		Male	Female
Strong influence	35%	41%	29%
Some influence	38%	39%	37%
Probably no influence	11%	7%	15%
Definitely no influence	2%	2%	3%
I'm really not sure	14%	11%	17%

N (unweighted)	1,046	498	548
----------------	-------	-----	-----

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL	AGE GROUP						
		18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years	65-74 years	75 years or over
Strong influence	35%	33%	19%	42%	31%	44%	45%	31%
Some influence	38%	38%	44%	27%	40%	38%	36%	45%
Probably no influence	11%	13%	19%	10%	8%	8%	9%	18%
Definitely no influence	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%
I'm really not sure	14%	14%	17%	18%	20%	8%	6%	5%

N (unweighted)	1,046	75	119	190	228	197	156	81
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
Strong influence	35%	35%	28%	33%	42%	29%	35%	48%	42%	35%
Some influence	38%	37%	26%	33%	39%	45%	47%	45%	48%	35%
Probably no influence	11%	13%	17%	11%	10%	11%	8%	3%	10%	12%
Definitely no influence	2%	5%	3%	3%	0%	2%	2%	5%	0%	1%
I'm really not sure	14%	11%	25%	21%	9%	14%	8%	1%	0%	17%

N (unweighted)	1,046	83	102	136	160	182	156	53	32	134
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL	PERSONAL INCOME								
		Less than \$20,000 per year	Between \$20,001 and \$30,000 per year	Between \$30,001 and \$50,000 per year	Between \$50,001 and \$70,000 per year	Between \$70,001 and \$100,000 per year	Between \$100,001 and \$150,000 per year	Between \$150,001 and \$200,000 per year	More than \$200,000 per year	Don't know/ prefer not to say
Strong influence	35%	36%	32%	39%	25%	31%	45%	62%	40%	34%
Some influence	38%	37%	31%	36%	53%	44%	43%	34%	38%	30%
Probably no influence	11%	16%	20%	9%	5%	13%	5%	5%	22%	5%
Definitely no influence	2%	3%	2%	0%	5%	4%	2%	0%	0%	1%
I'm really not sure	14%	8%	15%	16%	13%	8%	6%	0%	0%	30%

N (unweighted)	1,046	212	131	186	157	132	64	15	15	127
----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by	ALL	EMPLOYED	
		Yes	No
Strong influence	35%	34%	36%
Some influence	38%	39%	36%
Probably no influence	11%	10%	14%
Definitely no influence	2%	2%	3%
I'm really not sure	14%	16%	12%

N (unweighted)	1,046	657	383
----------------	-------	-----	-----

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL	OCCUPATION												
		Professional /Senior Government Official	Business Manager/Executive	Business Proprietor/Self-employed	Teacher/Nurse/Police or other trained service worker	Clerical/Sales Employee	Farm Owner/manager	Technical/mechanical/Skilled Worker	Labourer/Agricultural or Domestic Worker	Home-maker (not otherwise employed)	Student	Retired/Supperrannuitant	Unemployed/Beneficiary	Don't know/prefer not to say
Strong influence	35%	32%	42%	30%	40%	36%	36%	40%	19%	34%	33%	38%	23%	31%
Some influence	38%	41%	47%	46%	37%	41%	64%	37%	31%	28%	50%	40%	33%	24%
Probably no influence	11%	5%	9%	18%	11%	8%	0%	11%	9%	24%	10%	13%	11%	4%
Definitely no influence	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	4%	3%	4%	7%
I'm really not sure	14%	20%	2%	6%	12%	14%	0%	11%	40%	14%	3%	6%	30%	33%

N (unweighted)	1,046	118	64	95	92	104	5	83	34	55	59	207	63	37
----------------	-------	-----	----	----	----	-----	---	----	----	----	----	-----	----	----

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL	HIGHEST QUALIFICATION							
		Postgraduate degree (Masters' degree or PhD)	Undergraduate (Bachelor) degree	Vocational qualification (includes trade certificates, diplomas etc)	University Bursary or 7th form	Sixth form/UE/NC EA Level 2	NCEA Level 1 or School Certificate	No formal school qualification	Prefer not to say
Strong influence	35%	41%	30%	38%	33%	34%	35%	30%	37%
Some influence	38%	42%	53%	37%	56%	34%	41%	32%	25%
Probably no influence	11%	9%	9%	12%	6%	17%	9%	11%	6%
Definitely no influence	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	0%	4%	4%
I'm really not sure	14%	5%	6%	11%	3%	13%	15%	24%	28%
N (unweighted)	1,046	148	276	235	65	102	93	62	32

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL	HOUSEHOLD TYPE								
		Single person household	Couple only (no children/no one at home)	Two parent family, one or two children at home	Two parent family, three or more children at home	One parent family, one or two children at home	One parent family, three or more children at home	Flatting or boarding - not a family home	Extended family	Prefer not to say
Strong influence	35%	36%	36%	30%	27%	41%	15%	37%	37%	42%
Some influence	38%	36%	43%	45%	44%	28%	37%	19%	26%	19%
Probably no influence	11%	16%	12%	8%	13%	13%	0%	16%	1%	14%
Definitely no influence	2%	5%	2%	2%	2%	1%	7%	0%	1%	4%
I'm really not sure	14%	8%	7%	15%	15%	17%	41%	28%	35%	21%
N (unweighted)	1,046	172	331	245	69	71	9	68	47	22

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL	ETHNICITY					
		Asian	Indian	Maori	NZ European	Other European	Pasifika
Strong influence	35%	48%	53%	37%	33%	28%	15%
Some influence	38%	32%	37%	31%	37%	59%	27%
Probably no influence	11%	15%	4%	12%	13%	7%	2%
Definitely no influence	2%	3%	0%	4%	2%	0%	1%
I'm really not sure	14%	2%	7%	16%	14%	6%	57%

N (unweighted)	1,046	33	27	213	742	81	19
----------------	-------	----	----	-----	-----	----	----

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL	PARTY VOTE 2017 (parties in parliament)				
		ACT New Zealand	Green Party	Labour Party	National Party	New Zealand First Party
Strong influence	35%	22%	50%	32%	31%	52%
Some influence	38%	23%	26%	39%	47%	35%
Probably no influence	11%	55%	5%	8%	13%	8%
Definitely no influence	2%	0%	11%	3%	1%	1%
I'm really not sure	14%	0%	8%	18%	8%	3%

N (unweighted)	1,046	15	105	294	236	74
----------------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	----

In your view, how much influence would this potentially have on the decisions made by these Members of Parliament?	ALL	In the past five years have you fished in the sea?		
		Yes, from a boat	Yes, from the land	No, I haven't fished in the sea
Strong influence	35%	46%	35%	31%
Some influence	38%	30%	41%	38%
Probably no influence	11%	14%	12%	11%
Definitely no influence	2%	1%	3%	3%
I'm really not sure	14%	8%	10%	17%
N (unweighted)	1,046	299	219	633